Vice Chancellor and Provost’s Committee on Academic Integrity

Comparison Institutions
Comparison Institutions

The Best Practices Subgroup researched the websites of Syracuse University’s twelve comparison institutions. This group is identified below.

Syracuse University’s Comparison Institutions
1. Boston University
2. Case Western Reserve University
3. Duke University
4. Emory University
5. Georgetown University
6. New York University
7. Northwestern University
8. Tulane University
9. University of Rochester
10. University of Southern California
11. Vanderbilt University
12. Washington University

The review of the institutions’ websites focused around the following questions:
- Is there a single academic integrity policy for the institution or are there separate policies for each academic unit?
- Does the institution have an Honor Code?
- Does the academic policy define plagiarism? Other dishonest behaviors?
- Is an academic integrity hearing available to the accused?
- What is the composition of the hearing panel?
- What, if any, role does legal counsel have in academic integrity hearings?
- What is the range of available sanctions?
- Is an appeal process available?
- Is a proven case of academic dishonesty noted on the student’s transcript?
- How and where are cases of academic dishonesty recorded? What are the channels of communication between academic units?
- Are academic dishonesty cases shared with the community?
- Does the academic integrity policy apply to both undergraduate and graduate students?
- Does the institution have a policy addressing faculty academic integrity?
- What academic integrity educational strategies are implemented?
- Does the institution have an Office of Academic Integrity? If not, are specific staff members designated to address academic integrity?
The following report is based on website reviews and reflects only information the institutions chose to include on their sites.

This report includes an analysis of the institutional data collectively, with the specific data for each institution included in the section beginning on page 7.
Is there a single academic integrity policy for the institution or are there separate policies for each academic unit?

Eight of Syracuse University’s comparison institutions employ a university-wide academic integrity policy. There is some variation, however, in the execution of this type of arrangement. For example, Northwestern University’s policy is enforced by each of the colleges, rather than by a university-wide board. Additionally, each college of the University of Rochester has a Committee of Academic Integrity that works in conjunction with the university-wide College Board on Academic Honesty.

Does the institution have an Honor Code?

An honor code institution is identified as having (1) an honor pledge, (2) unproctored exams, (3) student responsibility for reporting violations and deciding cases, and (4) single sanction of expulsion. No comparable institutions met this definition.

A modified honor code was described by nine of Syracuse University’s comparison institutions. Two of these institutions (i.e., Tulane University, University of Rochester) have individual honor codes within each of their schools. Only the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University has an honor code, although it appears that the entire university had a code in the recent past. A few of the institutions do not use the wording of honor code, choosing instead Community Standard (i.e., University of Southern California) or Community Compact (i.e., New York University).

Does the academic policy define plagiarism? Other dishonest behaviors?

Plagiarism is defined and specific examples of plagiarism are provided by ten of the comparison universities. Nine of these institutions also describe other inappropriate academic behaviors. These included: cheating, falsification of data or academic documentation, theft of an exam, unauthorized communication during an exam, forgery, submitting work for multiple purposes, altering or destroying another’s work, misrepresentation, abuse of library privileges, abuse of shared electronic media, obtaining an unfair advantage, aiding and abetting academic dishonesty, and collaboration on work without permission.

Is an academic integrity hearing available to the accused?

Accused students have access to an academic integrity hearing at all the comparison institutions, with the exception of New York University. Several of these institutions (i.e., Boston University, Case Western Reserve University, Duke University, University of Rochester) promote faculty-student resolution, with a hearing available if the initial interaction does not result in a successful resolution for all parties involved. Repeat offenders at Boston University and University of Rochester have no opportunity for resolution outside of a hearing.

What is the composition of the hearing panel?

The number of individuals on the hearing panels of the comparison institutions varies between four and twelve members. Eight of the institutions’ websites described the specific composition of the voting members of the hearing panels - six consist of faculty
and students, while two (i.e., Northwestern University, Vanderbilt University) are comprised of only students. Some require students to be of junior status and a few ensure that a student, faculty member, or both from the home college of the accused student are on the hearing panel. Nonvoting members also serve on some of these hearing boards and include: faculty member from the accused student’s home college, administrators (i.e., assistant dean, dean, assistant vice president), department chair of the faculty member, and faculty advisors to the Honors Council.

Two institutions have a different type of hearing panel scenario. The University of Southern California has a one member panel consisting of the Judicial Officer. New York University does not hold a hearing for the first offense, with the dean convening a three member ad-hoc committee for a second offense.

**What, if any, role does legal counsel have in academic integrity hearings?**

A lawyer may be present at the hearings of four of our comparison institutions (i.e., Boston University, Duke University, Georgetown University, Northwestern University). Their role, however, is strictly advisory. Two institutions (i.e., Tulane University, Vanderbilt University) note that the student may have an advisor present, but do not specifically mention a lawyer. Three institutions (i.e., Emory University, University of Rochester, University of Southern California) strictly forbid a lawyer to attend the hearing.

**What is the range of available sanctions?**

All of the comparison institutions include a list of sanctions on their websites. The range of sanctions is quite common across the universities and include: failing grade for work in question, failing grade for course, dismissal from a department or major, warning, verbal or written reprimand, probation (generally prohibits student from serving as an officer in student organizations or participating in intercollegiate activities), suspension, expulsion, withhold a transcript, and revoke a degree.

Four of the comparison institutions advocate a policy of punitive and educational sanctions. The education sanctions include: ethics tutorial education program or comparable ethics exercise (i.e., Case Western University), academic skills assistance (i.e., Duke University), community service (i.e., Northwestern University), and workshop on plagiarism or academic ethics (i.e., Washington University). These are generally assigned in addition to a punitive sanction.

The hearing panel at Vanderbilt University is instructed to consider the degree of fault based on intent and ignorance when determining the sanction to be assigned.

**Is an appeal process available?**

An appeal process is available at ten of Syracuse University’s comparison institutions, with no information available on two institutions’ websites (i.e., Case Western Reserve University, University of Rochester). Common appeal process practices across these institutions include: the student must appeal in writing, the time frame for submitting the
appeal varies from five to fourteen days, and in most cases the appeal must be based on new evidence or a violation of the hearing procedures.

**Is a proven case of academic dishonesty noted on the student’s transcript?**

Five comparison institutions note academic dishonesty on the student’s transcript, three do not, and four of the institutions did not have this information available on their website.

“Excluded for Academic Dishonesty” is noted on the transcript of a Northwestern University student who has been expelled. University of Southern California notes both suspension and expulsion on a student’s transcript. A Georgetown University transcript may read, “Censure for Violation of Honor System: This notation can be removed on (date) through student action.”

Washington University makes note of probation only while the terms of the probation are being served, while Duke University notes academic dishonesty on a student’s disciplinary record. Tulane University, Vanderbilt University, and University of Rochester indicate that there is not a notation of academic dishonesty recorded on the student’s transcript.

**How and where are cases of academic dishonesty recorded? What are the channels of communication between academic units?**

Record keeping for the seven comparison institutions reporting this information on their websites is quite variable. Five of these institutions have a university-wide academic integrity policy and reported central record keeping. This allows for ease in determining if a student is a repeat offender. One of the institutions with a decentralized approach (i.e., Boston University) maintains records in the dean’s office of each college. The other institution (i.e., Washington University) also maintains records within the individual deans’ office, as well as with the Director of University Judicial Programs. Academic integrity officers from each of the colleges check with the Judicial Programs director prior to any hearing to determine if the student has a previous record of academic dishonesty.

**Are academic dishonesty cases shared with the community?**

Only five comparison institutions shared this type of information on their websites. In all cases the proceedings and outcomes are made public without identifying the parties involved. Each school/college at Northwestern University and Washington University are encouraged to release a public report to the school community, while Georgetown University has the information for each case available online.

**Does the academic integrity policy apply to both undergraduate and graduate students?**

The same academic integrity policy applies to undergraduate and graduate students at Northwestern University and University of Rochester. Different policies are in place for undergraduate and graduate students at Duke University, Georgetown University,
University of Southern California, and Vanderbilt University. The remaining six comparison institutions did not have this information available on their websites.

**Does the institution have a policy addressing faculty academic integrity?**

Four comparison institutions (i.e., Boston University, Northwestern University, Tulane University, University of Rochester) have a separate faculty academic integrity policy, while the University of Southern California applies the same academic integrity policy to students and faculty. The remaining seven institutions did not provide this type of information on their websites.

**What academic integrity educational strategies are implemented?**

A range of educational strategies were shared by seven of the comparison institutions, including discussions on academic integrity during new student orientation, expectation that academic integrity is discussed in all classes and an academic integrity statement is included on all course syllabi, websites designed for faculty and TAs on how to provide a climate of academic integrity, websites designed for student on how to avoid academic dishonesty, training and consulting services for faculty and TAs, online Scholarly Research and Academic Integrity tutorial, and signing of the honor code.

**Does the institution have an Office of Academic Integrity? If not, are specific staff members designated to address academic integrity?**

Five comparison institutions, all with university-wide academic integrity policies, reported having some type of administrative home for academic integrity. These include: the Academic Integrity Board through the Office of the Dean of Undergraduate Studies (i.e., Case Western Reserve University), Dean of Students Office (i.e., Duke University), College Board on Academic Integrity (i.e., University of Rochester), Judicial Affairs Office (i.e., University of Southern California), and the Office of Student Conduct and Academic Integrity (i.e., Vanderbilt University).
Comparison Institutions
Data

• University-wide or Individual School/College Academic Integrity Policy

  University-wide Policy
  Case Western Reserve University
  Duke University
  Emory University
  Georgetown University
  Northwestern University (enforced by each school)
  University of Rochester
  University of Southern California
  Vanderbilt University

  Individual School/College Policy
  Boston University
  New York University
  Tulane University
  Washington University

• Honor Code
  No institutions described a traditional honor code.

  Modified Honor Code
  Emory University
  Georgetown University (Honor Pledge)
  New York University (Community Compact)
  Northwestern University (only School of Management)
  Tulane University (each school has its own honor code)
  University of Rochester (each school has own honor code)
  University of Southern California (standards of the USC community)
  Vanderbilt University

  No Information Available on the Website
  Boston University
  Case Western Reserve University
  Washington University

• Definition of Plagiarism and Other Dishonest Behaviors
  Boston University (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided; other
  behaviors included cheating on exams, misrepresentation or falsification of data,
theft of an exam, unauthorized communication during an exam, knowingly allowing others to misrepresent your work as theirs, forgery, submitting same work in more than one course, altering or destroying another’s work)

Case Western Reserve University (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided; other behaviors included cheating, misrepresentation, and obstruction)

Duke University (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided)

Emory University (plagiarism is not defined but there is an appendix with a description of the use of sources in writing research papers)

Georgetown University (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided; other behaviors included cheating, using false citations, submitting work for multiple purposes, submitting false data, falsifying academic documentation, abuse of library privileges, abuse of shared electronic media)

New York University (plagiarism not defined; other behaviors included cheating on an exam or lab work)

Northwestern University (plagiarism defined; other behaviors included cheating, fabrication, obtaining unfair advantage, aiding and abetting academic dishonesty, falsification of records and official documents, unauthorized access to computerized academic or administrative records or systems)

Tulane University (plagiarism defined; other behaviors included not seeking an unfair advantage over other students)

University of Rochester (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided; other behaviors included misuse of library materials, assistance during exams, assisting someone else in an act of academic dishonesty)

University of Southern California (plagiarism defined and a guide for avoiding plagiarism is included on the website)

Vanderbilt University (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided; other behaviors included falsification, cheating, deceit, submission of work prepared for another course)

Washington University (plagiarism defined and specific examples provided; tips on how to avoid plagiarism are included; other behaviors included copying or collaborating on assignments without permission, fabrication or falsification of data or records)

• **Academic Integrity Hearing Available**

  Boston University (faculty initially talks to student, documents the conversation, and files a report with the dean of the school/college; dean of school/college will check for any prior academic dishonesty record in any of the other schools/colleges; if a second offense, the dean will refer the case to the Academic Conduct Committee; student may also request a hearing if they want to dispute the charge or sanction from the faculty)

  Case Western Reserve University (if a first violation, the faculty member can sanction the student or refer the case to the Academic Integrity Board with this action being documented; case may also go to a hearing if the student claims innocence or disagrees with the sanction)
Duke University (faculty-student resolution with documentation sent to Dean of Students Office; if student does not accept responsibility or sanction he/she may request a hearing)
Emory University (hearings do occur, however, specific information is not available)
Georgetown University (hearing is mandatory unless the student is given the option of expedited sanctioning)
Northwestern University (hearing is managed by each individual school)
Tulane University (hearings do occur, however, specific information is not available)
University of Rochester (faculty are encouraged to resolve the issue directly with the student except in cases where the student has been identified by the College Board on Academic Integrity as a repeat offender)
University of Southern California (meeting between student and Judicial Officer)
Vanderbilt University (small panel of 3 individuals is used if student has pleaded guilty and only the sanction must be determined; full panel of 12 is used for all other hearings; 2 investigators are assigned to do initial investigation)
Washington University (hearings do occur, however, specific information is not available)

*Hearing Not Available*
New York University

- **Composition of Hearing Board**
  - Boston University (5 total voting members – 3 faculty appointed by the dean of the school/college and 2 undergraduates of junior or senior status appointed by the associate dean of the school/college; a representative faculty member from the accused student’s home school/college is a nonvoting member of the hearing board)
  - Case Western Reserve University (5 total voting members – 2 faculty appointed by Faculty Senate and 3 students appointed by Student Government; 2 administrators serve as nonvoting members – Dean of the Office of Undergraduate Studies and Assistant Vice President of Student Affairs)
  - Duke University (5 members – 3 students of junior or senior status and 2 faculty or staff)
  - Emory University (an investigative team of 1 student member of the Honor Council and 1 faculty advisor of the Honor Council determine whether to refer the accusation for a hearing; 11 members – 7 students and 4 faculty)
  - Georgetown University (the Executive Committee consists of 8 students, 4 faculty, and 5 members of the deans’ offices; the hearing board generally consists of 5 individuals – the dean from accused student’s home college, 1 faculty and 1 student from accused student’s home college, and 1 faculty and 1 student from a different school than the accused student)
  - New York University (no hearing for first case offense; for cases involving a second offense, the dean will convene a 3 member ad-hoc committee which will have no members from the department involved)
Northwestern University (8 voting members – all students; 4 nonvoting members including department chair, assistant dean, and 2 tenured faculty members; a decision of 7 votes out of 8 against the student is required to convict)
Tulane University (varies by school)
University of Rochester (College Board on Academic Honesty consists of a pool of undergraduate students selected by the All Campus Judicial Council and 11 faculty members appointed by the dean of the college; each case is heard by 4 members – 3 faculty and 1 student)
University of Southern California (1 member – Judicial Officer)
Vanderbilt University (hearing panel composed entirely of students with faculty advisors; small hearing panel consists of 2 students and 1 faculty advisor; full hearing panel consists of 12 students with 1 nonvoting faculty advisor)
Washington University (the chair of each school/college’s Academic Discipline Committee is the school/college’s registrar; no other information is available)

- **Lawyer at Academic Integrity Hearings**
  
  **Permitted**
  - Boston University (lawyer may accompany student to the hearing and is allowed to make a brief statement on behalf of the student; may not participate directly in the hearing)
  - Duke University (lawyer may accompany student to the hearing but serves only in an advisory role; lawyer may not address the hearing board)
  - Georgetown University (lawyer may accompany student to the hearing in a support role only)
  - Northwestern University (the accused student may choose to have a lawyer present at the hearing to serve as an advisor only)
  - Tulane University (accused individual is encouraged to obtain an advisor to assist in preparing for the hearing)
  - Vanderbilt University (the accused may have an advisor present – no direct reference is made to a lawyer)

  **Not Permitted**
  - Emory University (a lawyer is not allowed at the hearing; the accused may be accompanied by a student or faculty member of the college only)
  - University of Rochester (two people may accompany the student to the hearing; neither can speak, nor can they be an attorney)
  - University of Southern California (student may have a person attend the hearing, but it may not be a lawyer)

**No Information Available on the Website**
Case Western Reserve University
New York University
Washington University
• **Academic Dishonesty Sanctions**

Boston University (reprimand; disciplinary probation – recorded on permanent internal record, prohibits being an officer in college or university-wide student organizations or participating in intercollegiate activities; suspension for 1 to 3 semesters – recorded on internal record as “suspension,” recorded on external record as “withdrawn”; expulsion – recorded permanently on record; withhold transcript; revoke degree)

Case Western University (policy of punitive and educational sanctions; “F” for work in question; “F” for course; warning; probation; suspension; expulsion; may investigate violations after graduation and could revoke degree; for first violation, in addition to punitive sanctions, assigned to complete the ethics tutorial education program or complete a comparable ethics exercise assigned by the Dean of Undergraduate Studies or the Assistant Vice President of Student Affairs; for a second violation, the minimum sanction is failure of the course)

Duke University (the hearing panel is encouraged to consider the educational, as well as the punitive, value of the sanction; “F” for work in question; “F” for course; suspension; probation; seek counseling; obtain academic skills assistance from the Academic Skills Center; participate in an educational initiative)

Emory University (sanctions range from verbal reprimand to dismissal from the university)

Georgetown University (sanctions range from a letter of reprimand to dismissal from the university; students receiving a notation on their transcript or a letter of censure can have the sanction removed at a later date by completing a sanction reduction plan)

New York University (sanctions range from a reduced grade in the course to expulsion from the university)

Northwestern University (sanctions include loss of student rights and privileges for a specified time, required community service, reduced or failing grade, probation, suspension for a definite or indefinite period, expulsion; the panel will also recommend to the assistant dean whether a record of the charges and sanction should be made a part of the student’s permanent file)

Tulane University (sanctions range from a failing grade to expulsion from the university)

University of Rochester (university-wide there are no standard sanctions; the College Board on Academic Integrity makes recommendations to the dean who makes the ultimate decision; sanctions for minimal cases include asking the student to redo the work in question with no drop in grade; sanctions for intermediate cases include lower grade for the work in question, “F” for work in question, or failure of the course; sanctions for maximum cases include course failure, temporary or permanent suspension; graduate students always fall in the maximum category)

University of Southern California (sanctions include an “F” for work in question, failure of course, dismissal from a department or major, suspension, expulsion from the university, and revoking of degree)

Vanderbilt University (sanctions include failure in the course, suspension for one semester, and expulsion; the hearing panel is instructed to consider the degree of fault based on intent and ignorance)
Washington University (punitive and education sanctions are enforced; formal written reprimand; “F” for work in question; “F” for course; disciplinary probation – noted on transcript while in force; suspension; expulsion from university; attend workshop on plagiarism or academic ethics)

- **Appeal Process Available**

  - Boston University (student must appeal in writing to the Provost)
  - Duke University (student must appeal in writing to the Appellate Board – Vice Provost, Vice President of Student Affairs, Associate Deans from Trinity College and Engineering College; appeal is not a rehearing of the case and is granted on limited grounds)
  - Emory University (written statement for the basis of the appeal must be received by the dean within seven days of the student being given written notification of the outcome of the hearing; dean appoints 2 faculty and 2 students to serve on the appeals panel; panel reviews the records, consults with the Honor Council, and confers with the dean; the dean may then deny the appeal, modify the sanctions, or request that the appeal panel rehear the case)
  - Georgetown University (student has one week from receipt of the letter of decision to file a letter of appeal with the Honor Council Faculty Chair; the appeal must be based on new evidence or the student’s belief that a substantive violation of the Honor System procedures affected the outcome; the appeal is presented to the Honor Council Executive Committee who will decide by majority vote if a new hearing should be granted)
  - New York University (in all cases, the student has the right to appeal to the dean; if a student does so, the dean will elicit a written complaint from the faculty member and reopen the case)
  - Northwestern University (student must appeal the decision and/or the sanction in writing to the dean of the school within 5 business days of the hearing)
  - Tulane University (decision or sanction may be appealed in writing to the dean of the school within 5 business days of receiving the Honor Code Committee’s decision)
  - University of Southern California (student has 10 days to appeal in writing to the Appeal Panel who will make a binding decision)
  - Vanderbilt University (appeal must be made within 10 class/exam days or 2 weeks if the university is not in session)
  - Washington University (student must appeal to the University Judicial Board within 14 days of decision)

*No Information Available on the Website*

- Case Western Reserve University
- University of Rochester

- **Notation of Academic Dishonesty on Transcript**

  - Duke University (note on disciplinary record which is kept for eight years after matriculation)
Georgetown University (notation “Censure for Violation of Honor System: This notation can be removed on (date) through student action” is indicated on transcript)
Northwestern University (only in case of expulsion; “Excluded for Academic Dishonesty” is noted on the transcript)
University of Southern California (only in case of suspension and expulsion)
Washington University (notation only while serving terms of probation)

No Notation
Tulane University
University of Rochester
Vanderbilt University

No Information Available on the Website
Boston University
Case Western Reserve University
Emory University
New York University

• Academic Dishonesty Record Keeping and Communication
  Boston University (request for hearing, outcome of hearing, and sanction reports are on file in the dean’s office of each school/college; dean of school of offending student enforces the sanction)
  Case Western Reserve University (students have a university-wide judicial file in the Office of Student Affairs which is checked by the university-wide Academic Integrity Board every time a case is brought forward to check for repeat offenders)
  Duke University (academic integrity cases are kept on file in the Dean of Students Office)
  University of Rochester (academic integrity cases that are heard by the College Board on Academic Honesty are kept for five years by the secretary of the Board; in cases resolved by the department, records are maintained by the chair)
  University of Southern California (Judicial Affairs Office maintains academic dishonesty records for up to 7 years; records are separate from students’ official academic file)
  Vanderbilt University (all records are maintained by the Honor Council Advisor in the Office of Student Conduct and Academic Integrity)
  Washington University (each school/college Academic Integrity officer maintains the students’ official files at their home school/college; each officer sends a report of each academic dishonesty formal accusation to the Director of University Judicial Programs; each Academic Integrity officer checks with the Director of University Judicial Programs prior to any hearing to determine if the student has a previous record of academic dishonesty)
**No Information Available on Website**

Emory University
Georgetown University
New York University
Northwestern University
Tulane University

**Academic Dishonesty Cases Shared in a Community Report**

Boston University (makes public the facts and decisions of cases without revealing names)
Georgetown University (2002-2003 statistics are online)
Northwestern University (each school is encouraged to submit a public statement about the proceedings to the school community)
Tulane University (the chair of the Honor Code Committee submits a public statement to the school community about the proceedings – identities of involved parties are not revealed)
Washington University (each school/college Academic Integrity officer is encouraged to make annual reports to the students and faculty of their school/college concerning accusations and outcomes of cases without disclosing specific names)

**No Information Available on the Website**

Case Western Reserve University
Duke University
Emory University
New York University
University of Rochester
University of Southern California
Vanderbilt University

**Same Academic Integrity Policy Applies to Undergraduate and Graduate Students**

**Same Policy**

Northwestern University
University of Rochester

**Different Policies**

Duke University (graduate schools have own honor code but are adapting Community Standard into them)
Georgetown University (policy applies to all undergraduate schools only)
University of Southern California (medical and law school have their own policy)
Vanderbilt University (graduate students are subject to the jurisdiction of the student body that implements the Honor System in the graduate and professional schools)
No Information Available on the Website
Boston University
Case Western University
Emory University
New York University
Tulane University
Washington University

• Faculty Academic Integrity Policy
Boston University (policy in place for faculty misconduct in scholarship or research)
Northwestern University
Tulane University (conflict of interest)
University of Rochester
University of Southern California (student policy also applies to faculty)

No Information Available on the Website
Case Western Reserve University
Duke University
Emory University
Georgetown University
New York University
Vanderbilt University
Washington University

• Academic Integrity Educational Strategies
Case Western Reserve University (focus is on raising awareness and integrating academic integrity values into the scholarly pursuits of students; discussions on academic integrity during new student orientation; expectation that academic integrity is discussed in all classes; putting into practice the belief that programs and instructions on academic integrity should be offered throughout the students’ undergraduate career)
Duke University (website designed to assist faculty and TAs in providing a climate of integrity; training and consulting services for faculty and TAs; discussions at freshmen orientation; activities fair; freshmen sign Community Standard)
Georgetown University (all first year and transfer students must complete an online Scholarly Research and Academic Integrity tutorial; honor code signed on application and at matriculation)
Tulane University (website provides guidelines on how to avoid plagiarism; all faculty are instructed to include an academic integrity statement on each syllabus)
University of Rochester (during orientation the Dean of Freshmen introduces the students to the nature of academic honesty; all students must sign a written version of the academic policy prior to registration)
University of Southern California (online information on how to avoid plagiarism; faculty are encouraged to provide explicit plagiarism policies in their syllabi)
Vanderbilt University (honor code must be written and signed on all exams, quizzes, papers, and assignments)

No Information Available on the Website
Boston University
Emory University
New York University
Northwestern University
Washington University

- Academic Integrity Office or Staff
  Case Western Reserve University (Academic Integrity Board through Dean of Undergraduate Studies)
  Duke University (Dean of Students Office)
  University of Rochester (College Board on Academic Honesty)
  University of Southern California (Judicial Affairs Office)
  Vanderbilt University (Office of Student Conduct and Academic Integrity)

No Information Available on the Website
Boston University
Emory University
Georgetown University
New York University
Northwestern University
Tulane University
Washington University